

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/697,709	10/30/2003	Rainer Grimm	60130-1945; 99MRA0224	8350	
26096	7590 07/08/2004		EXAMINER		
•	GASKEY & OLDS, I	CHENEVERT, PAUL A			
400 WEST M SUITE 350	400 WEST MAPLE ROAD SUITE 350		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
BIRMINGHA	M, MI 48009		3612		
			DATE MAILED: 07/08/200-	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	06
Office Action Summary	10/697,709	GRIMM ET AL.	lα
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Paul A. Chenevert	3612	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence addre	ess
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a represent within the statutory minimum of thirty od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT tute cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this comm	nunication.
Status			
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	his action is non-final. vance except for formal matte	rs, prosecution as to the m	erits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	r Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdred 5) Claim(s) 9-12 and 15-17 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-5,8,13 and 14 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 6 and 7 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on 30 October 2003 is/ar Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the terms.	re: a) \square accepted or b) \square objoine drawing(s) be held in abeyance ection is required if the drawing(s)	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Buret * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Appi iority documents have been re au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National Sta	ge
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sur	nmary (PTO-413)	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/ľ	Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-152	2)
2.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office A	Action Summary	Part of Paper No /Mail Date 2	0040625

DETAILED ACTION

1. Examiner wishes to thank Attorney Theodore W. Olds for the telephone call on 25JUN04 in hopes of finding allowable subject matter in the current Application, but upon a further search new prior art was discovered and the following rejections have been made.

Priority

2. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112: The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 13 & 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 5. Claim 13 recites the limitation trim member on line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. The trim member claim limitation was originally introduced in claim 9, line 6. The additional description of the trim member in Claim 13, in the opinion of the Examiner, does not further limit the claim. It is thought that claim 13 should be deleted and claim 14 made dependant from claim 10.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 1 & 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Doll.

Doll discloses a vehicle body component (lorry motor truck wall 1), comprising: a first sheet piece (3); a second sheet piece (33) aligned with the first sheet piece and having at least one edge (8) with a bent portion adjacent at least one edge (9) with a bent portion of the first sheet piece; and a synthetic layer (23) foamed onto the first and second sheet pieces such that the synthetic layer secures the first and second sheet pieces in the aligned position adjacent each other.

8. Claims 1, 4, & 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hagiwara et al.

Hagiwara et al. disclose a vehicle body component (interior door panel 10), comprising: a first sheet piece (PVC skin 16); a second sheet piece (fabric skin 18) aligned with the first sheet piece and having at least one edge (offset 72) with a bent portion adjacent at least one edge (offset 80) with a bent portion of the first sheet piece; and a synthetic layer (foam 94) foamed onto the first and second sheet pieces such that the synthetic layer secures the first and second sheet pieces in the aligned position adjacent each other. In regards to claim 5, a sealing member (connecting jig 116) holds the two offsets together along a seam and the sealing member is received within the synthetic layer.

Application/Control Number: 10/697,709

Page 4 Art Unit: 3612

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

10. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the

claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various

claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any

evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out

the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later

invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c)

and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

11. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Doll in view of

obvious common knowledge.

Doll discloses the claimed invention except for the lorry walls made of either aluminum or synthetic materials. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use either aluminum or plastic, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious mechanical expedient choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.

12. Claims 3 & 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Doll in

view of obvious common knowledge.

As to claims 3 & 8, embedded colors in plastic materials and placing variable colored sheets of material next to each other is deemed to be an obvious expedient as this aesthetic design works equally well in absence of evidence to the contrary.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 13. Claims 9-12 & 15-17 are allowed.
- 14. Claims 6 & 7 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 15. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: the prior art does not show or make obvious Applicant's trim member located between the two sheets.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

- 16. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 17. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul A. Chenevert whose telephone number is 703-305-0837. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri (8:30-5:00).

Art Unit: 3612

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn D. Dayoan can be reached on 703-308-3102. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Paul A. Chenevert Examiner Art Unit 3612

PAC 25JUN04

> D. GLENN DAYOAN \ SUPERVISORY PATENT FXAMIN

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600